| | Aeeting I | Facilitation Playbook - Holacracy® 4.1 | <u>LIIP, v2019.06.28</u> |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| STEPS | | GUIDE participation | FRAME participation |
| OPENING | OPEN | Welcome to this Tactical Meeting of the Circle. The meeting will last until The next meeting is scheduled for the My name is and will I'll be your facilitator today. Our Secretary (today) is | |
| FRAME MEETING for newcomers | MEETING | | |
| | EXPLAIN MEETING PROCESS | | |
| | CARDS | Follow along the meeting steps thanks to the blue (Tactical) Meeting card. | |
| | FRAME FACILITATION FRAME | process boundaries; so I shall interrupt you or redirect you if you're out of process. | |
| | Q&R | If you have a reaction to the process, save it for the closing round. In the timeout space: don't rush; keep it informal; make sure they understand. Fac. has no authority. | |
| CHECK-IN | CHECK-IN ROUND | Check-in round. It is your chance to get present, to notice what has your attention and call it out. Check-in one at a time. No discussion. The round starts with, and continues with | NO: discussion, question, reaction. |
| CHECKLIST | OPEN | Let us now review our checklist items. | |
| REVIEW | EXPLAIN CHECKLIST | Charlier review is there to provide visibility on whether recurring actions are getting done | |
| | EXPLAIN PROCESS | | |
| L | CHECKLIST REVIEW | Go through the checklist, one item at a time: - <role>: <checklist item=""> ; check or no-check?</checklist></role> | NO: questions, discussion, reaction, request for action. |
| METRICS | OPEN | Let us now review metrics. | |
| REVIEW | EXPLAIN METRICS | | |
| | EXPLAIN PROCESS | When a metric of your role is addressed, report on the metric and highlight latest data. Clarifying questions allowed. For anything else regarding a metric, add an agenda item. Some of these Metrics might bring up a tension for you. I encourage you to write | |
| | | it down, and we'll address it later in this meeting. | |
| L | METRICS REVIEW | | OK: clarifying questions. NO: discussion, request for action, reaction. |
| PROJECT / | OPEN | Let us now review progress on projects and accountabilities. | |
| PROGRESS UPDATES | EXPLAIN PROJECTS | | |
| | EXPLAIN PROCESS | since last time you shared on it, or respond with "no update". Clarifying questions allowed. | |
| | PROJECT | Some of the updates shared might bring up a tension/remark for you. I encourage you to write it down, and we'll address it later in this meeting. | OK: clarifying questions |
| | UPDATES | Go through the projects list (even "waiting for" or "complete" projects), one at a time. - <role>: <project>, any update? When project assigned to sub-circle, allow both Lead Link and RepLink to provide update:</project></role> | OK: clarifying questions. NO: discussion, reuqest for action, reaction, full project history. |
| | ACCOUNTABILITY UPDATES | - LeadLink / RepLink, any other update to share about this project? | |
| L | UPDATES | You are invited to share progress for an accountability of your role. Anyone? | |

| Tactical STEPS | Meeting I | Facilitation Playbook - Holacracy® 4.1 GUIDE participation | LIIP, v2019.06.2 |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---|---|
| FRAME MEET for newcome | | We have surfaced data about the circle and are now done with the Preamble Steps. The second part of the Tactical Meeting is about Triaging Issues. It is designed to help "unblock" any issue, by figuring out one step forward that someone can take. It allows each role to process their current tensions and make use of the other roles efficiently. We start by building the agenda on the fly and then will attempt to process them all. | |
| BUILD AGENDA | BUILD AGENDA | Let us now build the agenda. An agenda item is anything that you'd like to process with your team members, a tension that you feel, a place where you're stuck, an opportunity for improvement, You may add your tensions to the agenda, import or type them in, or ask the Secretary to do so for you, by saying 1-2 words to represent your tension, as a placeholder to help you remember it. <i>When the group doesn't generate many items, invite:</i> - Did everything work perfectly last week? Any issue you want to address? | NO: discussion, question, reaction, objection. |
| PROCESS AGENDA | OPEN | Let us start processing the agenda. | |
| AGENDA | EXPLAIN PROCESS | As we go through the items, one at a time, I'll ask the Item Owner "what do you need?" and give you some space. The others participate when requested by the Item Owner or the process. | |
| | | <i>Compute a per-item timebox to ensure time for each item:</i> In order to dedicate time for each items, I will start allocating minutes per item. | |
| | | Remember that you can add items to the agenda any time; ask for it in-between items please. | |
| | | Even if your tension seems similar to one already present in the agenda, go ahead and add it because their agenda item will be focused on getting them what they need. You'll probably want an opportunity to deal with your tension about the issue. | |
| | | As facilitator, bring in your own agenda items to make any coaching point you deem necessary. | |
| For each ite Triage | em OPEN | Let us start processing item, owned by | |
| tensions | TRIAGE | What do you need? | |
| | EXPLAIN PATHWAYS | There are five preferred requests you can make to process your tension | |
| | | Do you want someone to get something done? | NO: project forced assignation. |
| | | <i>Clarify concepts:</i> - A next-action is a single physical, visible act that moves something forward. - A project is any multi-step outcome with a definite endpoint. | |
| | | Clarify target role: - What role does it make sense to request that from? If unknown, ask secretary to pull up governance to investigate. | |
| | | Check that request fits purpose or accountabilities of target role (LeadLink may accept for role if absent): - Would taking this action or project serve your role's purpose or accountabilities? | |
| w | IELD EXPECTATIONS | When target role recognizes it serves them yet refuses specific action/project: Do you see another action or project that would better serve the Item Owner tension? When someone wields an implicit expectation / trying to set new expectation, clarify accountabilities: Is that something already expected from a role? Is a role already accountable for it? | |
| | | - Let's check the accountabilities of that role When no role found accountable: | |
| | | - You have no right to expect it. (Pause) Would you like the right to expect it from a role? Yes so it seems you want to adapt the circle governance. Let's capture a governance tension for you | |
| | | When you sense that an external role has sneaked in: - In what role of this circle are you processing that tension? | |
| | | When an action/project seems like something the Item Owner is likely to ask for, again: - is that something you would like to request on a reguar basis? => Governance tension | |
| | | Do you want input or data from the circle? What information do you need? | NO: discussion, request fo action, reaction. |
| | EMPOWER | When Item Owner seeks consensus / (group) decision / buy-in, invite to reflect: - Whose decision is this? | |
| | | You don't need to resolve everyone's questions or tensions about this. What do YOU need? You have the full authority to take any action or make any decision in service of your roles, as long as it doesn't break an explicit rule or domain. So, what do you need to make your decision? | |
| | REFOCUS | When the discussion / sharing feels overdone, or group looses focus invite to move on: - Alright so what do you need? what next actions are needed here? Invite to address roles: | |
| | | - Which role has the information you need? Hold space for requesting information or help until agenda item owner is ready to move on. | |
| | | When someone tries to inject their tension, invite: - That sounds like a different tension coming from your role. We're focusing now on meeting the needs of this role only. Yyou may add an item to the agenda to represent your tension. | |
| EQUIVAL | LENCE IN FEEDBACK | When former power holder always feedbacks first, shuting down others, process in a round: - Item Owner, may I propose to gather feedbacks in a short round? | |

| TEPS | Facilitation Playbook - Holacracy® 4.1 | EDAME nonticipation |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| ROCESS AGENDA (conti | GUIDE participation nued) | FRAME participatio |
| Triage tensions (contir | - | |
| | Do you want to share some input or data with the circle ? <i>Invite to speak in role:</i> - In which role are you sharing this information? | NO: question, discussion, request for action, reaction. |
| | Hold space for that until agenda item owner is ready to move on, Then followup: | |
| DEALING W COMPLAINTS | - We heard you. Would you like to make space for questions and reactions? When there's no clear request, just complaints, or you feel pressure on Item Owner: | |
| REORIENT COMMAND | Offer the pathways, slowly, letting them feel into it. Former power holder (i.e. "manager") directs someone to do something: - What role of are you engaging here? - Are you making an official prioritization as Lead Link, or just sharing an opinion? | |
| | Is there something you would like to expect on an ongoing basis? - Expectations can only be decided in governance meeting, so would you like to bring this to a governance meeting? Capture a Governance Tension for the requestor. | |
| | <i>Then followup:</i> - Until the next governance meeting, is there anything operational that needs to be done? | |
| | Would you like to process that tension in another way? - The 5 pathways are the preferred ways, yet anything operational is allowed to happen here. | |
| GUIDE | When the Item Owner does not state their intent yet start with one pathway, give clarity on pathway: - You've started, great! go ahead. | |
| TIMEBOX | When the Item approaches its share of time, timebox: - Item Owner, we will dedicate 1 more min to this item so what do you need? | |
| | When people are addressed / engaged rather than roles: - What role of are you engaging here? | |
| | When Item Owner plays victim and others act as saviors, put Item Owner back in control: - So what do you need? | |
| | When "Who can / is willing to do this?" arises, refocus: - From which role may you expect that? | |
| | When senior starts coaching/judging newbies throughout the process: - May I invite you to discuss any process issue with the Facilitator directly? | |
| CLOSE / LOOF | Did you get what you need? If no: - What do you need? and in what role? | |
| | - Would you like to <i>If yes:</i> - Secretary, check off the item. We now move to the next item | |
| LOSING CUT OUND | When in the middle of processing an item: - Meeting time is up! I have to halt the processing of this item and of any other remaining item in the agenda. | |
| REDIRECT | You may bring partially or un-processed items to our next Tactical Meeting, or process them out of meeting. | |
| ROUNE | Anything that can happen in a tactical can also happen out of meeting. Let us now step out of this meeting by sharing a last reflection on it. | NO discussion, question, |
| CLOSE | We start with and will continue with This Tactical Meeting of the Circle is now over. | reactions. |
| | Outcomes will be shared to all Circle Members by Thank you for your participation today. | |
| burces | Holacracy Constitution, v4.1 Holacracy Tactical Meeting Cards v.022519 Holacracy Tactical Meeting Cards v.110117 https://medium.com/@chrcowan/facilitating-a-holacracy-tactical-meeting-5d51baa2441c https://blog.holacracy.org/holacracy-tactical-meeting-phrase-book-8e1c5b6fa8dd | |
| | https://medium.com/@chrcowan/process-for-triaging-a-tactical-item-e58f2837c78d Trainings, | |